

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FLORIDA

KEVIN WILLIAM WESSELL,
individually and as an owner, officer or
manager of Lawyers Limited PLLC, Lawyers
Limited Inc., and Liberty Business Group,
Inc.,

LAWYERS LIMITED PLLC,
a Washington D.C. professional limited
liability company,

LAWYERS LIMITED INC.,
a Nevada corporation, and

LIBERTY BUSINESS GROUP, INC.,
a Nevada corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SHANNON BLAKE HARRIS,
an individual, and

BLAKE HARRIS LAW, LLC,
a Florida limited liability company,

Defendants.

CASE NO.

**PLAINTIFF KEVIN WILLIAM WESSELL'S VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR
DAMAGES, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

COMES NOW, Plaintiffs, KEVIN WILLIAM WESSELL ("Wessell"), LAWYERS LIMITED PLLC, a Washington D.C. professional limited liability company ("Lawyers Limited D.C."), LAWYERS LIMITED INC., a Nevada Corporation ("Lawyers Limited Nevada"), and LIBERTY BUSINESS GROUP, INC., a Nevada Corporation ("Liberty") ("Wessell's Businesses," collectively when not including Wessell, and "Plaintiffs," collectively when including Wessell) by and through the undersigned counsel, files this Complaint against Defendants SHANNON

BLAKE HARRIS, an individual (“Harris”), and BLAKE HARRIS LAW, LLC, a Florida limited liability company (“Harris Law”) (collectively, “Defendants”), and in support thereof states:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for defamation per se, defamation by implication, and tortious interference with business relationships.

2. Defendants have engaged in an online smear campaign against Plaintiffs from their website TheOffshoreWatchdog.com and their social media accounts.

3. Defendants have operated as one and the same in this scheme, as Harris has defamed Plaintiffs in several videos from his social media account @BlakeHarrisLaw, and from Defendants’ website TheOffshoreWatchdog.com. As pertaining to these claims, Harris Law is an alter ego of Harris.

4. In addition to falsely labelling Wessell as a “fraudster” and falsely accusing Plaintiffs of unlicensed practice of law, Defendants have also falsely implied that Wessell has been convicted and imprisoned for theft and domestic violence, which is categorically untrue.

5. Not only have Defendants defamed Plaintiffs with their website and videos, but Defendants have contacted actual and potential customers of Wessell’s Businesses to inform them of Harris Law’s lawsuit and the allegations against Plaintiffs raised in Harris Law’s complaint,¹ encouraging these customers to terminate their business relationships with Plaintiffs.

6. Plaintiffs have sustained damages from Defendants’ defamatory website and social media posts, and their tortious interference with Plaintiffs’ business relationships.

7. Wessell has suffered personal harm from being falsely painted as a thief and violent criminal.

¹ Case No. 25-cv-62552 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida.

8. Additionally, Plaintiffs have suffered irreparable harm without an adequate remedy at law from Defendants tortious interference with their business relationships with actual and/or potential customers, and from Defendants offering rewards in exchange for actions taken against Wessell, warranting injunctive relief.

PARTIES

9. Plaintiff Wessell is an entrepreneur and international asset protection expert residing in Lighthouse Point, Florida.

10. Plaintiff Lawyers Limited D.C. is a professional limited liability company headquartered at 300 New Jersey Avenue NW, Suite 300, Washington D.C.

11. Plaintiff Lawyers Limited Nevada is a Nevada Corporation that maintains a mailing address 23638 Lyons Ave #223. Santa Clarita, CA 91321.

12. Plaintiff Liberty is a Nevada corporation that maintains a mailing address 23638 Lyons Ave #223, Santa Clarita, CA 91321.

13. Plaintiff Liberty maintains a mailing address at 153 East Flagler Street #510 in Miami, Florida.

14. Defendant Shannon Blake Harris is a Florida attorney who holds himself out as an expert in international asset protection, and who resides at 2555 Collins Ave Apt 610, Miami Beach, Florida, 33140, in a home owned by Silver Tiger 2025 Trust.

15. Defendant Harris Law is a law firm headquartered at the 28th floor of 201 South Biscayne Boulevard in Miami, Florida.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

16. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action as it seeks damages in excess of \$50,000 exclusive of interest, costs, and attorney fees.

17. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they reside in Florida.

18. Venue is properly fixed in Miami-Dade County as one or more Defendants resides here and the causes of action accrued here.

ALLEGATIONS

19. Plaintiffs specialize in asset protection and offer comprehensive asset protection services to clients across the country.

20. Plaintiffs specialize in forming trusts, including offshore trusts, to protect their clients' wealth and assets.

21. Upon information and belief, Defendants engage in similar services for their clients.

22. Defendants operate the website TheOffshoreWatchdog.com ("Website"), which consists almost entirely of defamatory material about Plaintiffs.

23. Through their Website, Defendants claim, among other things, that Plaintiffs mislead their clients, that Plaintiffs do not offer legitimate legal services, and that they have lied about Wessell's personal experience in the offshore asset protection industry.

24. Defendants have also made numerous posts on social media referring to Wessell with defamatory terms such as "conman" and "fraudster," and repeating the defamatory and false claims that Plaintiffs have lied about Wessell's industry experience.

25. In many videos, Defendants claim that Plaintiffs have misrepresented the amount of clients they have served because Plaintiffs claim more clients than the number of Cook Islands trusts in existence, purposefully or recklessly ignoring the fact that Plaintiffs offer a wide variety of legal services, only one of which being formation of Cook Islands trusts.

26. Defendants also claim that Plaintiffs have misrepresented Wessell's career experience, claiming Wessell cannot have been in the asset protection business for over thirty years

because about twelve years ago he “ran a pawn shop.” While Wessell did own a pawn shop, the shop shared a commercial building with his asset protection firm, and Wessell outsourced management of the pawn shop while personally running the asset protection firm. Defendants purposefully or recklessly misrepresent these circumstances to allege that Plaintiffs are lying about Wessell’s extensive experience in asset protection.

27. On or around August 2025, Defendants commissioned a “due diligence investigation” by Crossroads Investigations (“Crossroads Report”), pertinent excerpts of which are attached hereto as **Exhibit “A.”** Despite being marked “Confidential,” Defendants published the Crossroads Report on their Website in its entirety and offer a summary which, to wit, was drafted by Defendants themselves. The summary is attached as **Exhibit “B.”**

28. As part of the Crossroads Report summary, Defendants list that Wessell has “**Multiple criminal convictions** including theft and domestic violence charges with prison time served.” *See* Exhibit “B.”

29. The Crossroads Report’s executive summary states that “Kevin Wessell also faced one criminal charge in Los Angeles County, California, and served time in prison.” *See* Exhibit “A.”

30. The Crossroads Report, published on Defendants’ website, states the following about Wessell and domestic violence:

Kevin W. Wessell faced one criminal charge in Los Angeles County, California, and has served time in prison.

- On 11/19/2000, Wessell was charged under California Penal Code 273.5 PC, also known as the Corporal Injury on a Spouse or Cohabitant law, which makes it a crime to inflict physical injury on a spouse, cohabitant, or the other parent of their child, resulting in a traumatic condition. This offense is considered a form of domestic violence and can be charged as either a misdemeanor or a felony, depending on the circumstances. **Wessell was booked, jailed, and released on**

bond on 11/19/2000. No further information is available on the details of this case in public records.

Exhibit “A” (emphasis added).

31. Clearly, the implication in the sentence “Kevin W. Wessell faced one criminal charge in Los Angeles County, California, and has served time in prison,” is that Wessell was imprisoned based on that charge. This is exacerbated by the summary which states that Wessell has multiple convictions “including . . . domestic violence with prison time served.” *See* Exhibit “B.” **Wessell has never been convicted of a criminal offense and has never served a jail or prison sentence for domestic violence or any other violent crime.**

32. Additionally, the Crossroads Report falsely claims that Wessell was convicted of first-degree theft and imprisoned for the same. *See* Exhibit “A.” **Wessell has never been convicted of, nor imprisoned for, theft.**

33. **As a member of the Florida Bar**, Harris is certainly aware of the difference between being arrested, being formally charged, and ultimately being convicted of a crime, as well as the difference between being jailed and processed versus being convicted and imprisoned, yet Defendants published this **defamatory and false** material to their Website.

34. On or around December 10, 2025, Defendants filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida against Plaintiffs alleging, inter alia, that Plaintiffs defraud their clients, engage in unauthorized practice of law, falsely advertise their services, and engage in unfair and deceptive business practices. Defendants’ Complaint is attached as **Exhibit “C.”**

35. Defendants not only published the Complaint in its entirety on their Website, but have made multiple social media posts commenting on the lawsuit and directing viewers to the Website to view the Complaint and learn about Defendants’ defamatory allegations.

36. On or around December 11, 2025—one day after filing their lawsuit against Plaintiffs—Defendants began contacting actual and/or potential clients of Plaintiffs to alert these clients of the lawsuit and allegations therein. A copy of one such email is attached as **Exhibit “D.”**

37. Upon information and belief, Defendants have sent several emails and other communications similar to that reflected in Exhibit “D.”

38. On or around December 29, 2025, Harris contacted Plaintiffs’ lead attorney, Yonathan Amselem, raising much of the same defamatory allegations as contained in Defendants’ Complaint and Defendants’ Website, and encouraging Amselem to terminate his employment with Plaintiffs.

39. On or around December 31, 2025, Defendants posted a video to their social media accounts repeating the allegations that Wessell is a “conman” and a “fraud,” that Wessell “has multiple criminal convictions including theft and domestic violence charges with prison time served,” that Wessell has lied about his experience in the offshore asset protection industry, and, for the first time, **offering a round-trip vacation to the Cook Islands to anyone who could provide Defendants with Wessell’s whereabouts.** Defendants also announced in this video that they would soon post “about fifty videos” about Plaintiffs, showing that Defendants have no intention of slowing down their defamatory online smear campaign.

40. On or around January 5, 2026, Defendants posted a video to their social media accounts revoking the Cook Islands trip offer, instead promising **\$10,000.00 cash to anyone who could effect service on Wessell.**

41. On or around January 6, 2026, Defendants posted a video to their social media accounts wherein Harris discusses the federal lawsuit they filed against Plaintiffs and criticizes Plaintiffs for not responding publicly. Harris goes on to say, “[Wessell] can’t defend himself

because he is a fraudster and a conman. But because the only way to actually stop a fraudster is jail, I've asked my attorney to report [Wessell] to the FBI for wire fraud. And I hope [Wessell] does end up incarcerated, again because he's already been incarcerated." Defendants do not disclose the basis for accusing Wessell of committing wire fraud, nor do they explain when Wessell was previously incarcerated.

42. Also on or around January 6, 2026, Defendants posted a video wherein Harris asks Plaintiffs why they have not publicly commented on the lawsuit or filed a defamation claim against them, and goes on to ask, "Is there any way to get you to exit the asset protection industry now, or is the only way for you to end up incarcerated, again, because you've already been incarcerated before?"

43. In several videos and posts, Defendants have criticized Wessell for not responding publicly to their lawsuit, aiming to taint any potential jury pool and deprive Plaintiffs of their day in court.

44. Plaintiffs have suffered damages from Defendants' defamatory and tortious conduct, including injury to reputation and loss of actual and potential clients.

45. Furthermore, Defendants' defamatory communications to Plaintiffs' actual and potential clients, and to Plaintiffs' employees, have caused irreparable harm to Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs do not have an adequate remedy at law because the ongoing nature of Defendants' conduct makes it difficult if not impossible to quantify all of Plaintiffs' damages.

46. Additionally, by offering rewards to anyone who can provide information about Wessell's whereabouts, Defendants have incited harassment and potential violence against Wessell, which also warrants injunctive relief.

47. All conditions precedent to this lawsuit have occurred, been satisfied, or have been waived.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I-DEFAMATION PER SE

48. Plaintiffs reallege paragraphs 1–47 as if fully set forth herein.

49. Defendants have engaged in an online smear campaign against Wessell, calling him a “fraudster” and a “conman” and warning others from doing business with Plaintiffs.

50. Defendants claim that Plaintiffs have misrepresented the number of clients they have served because Plaintiffs have represented to have served more clients than there are Cook Islands trusts, purposefully or recklessly ignoring the wide variety of other services Plaintiffs offer to their clients.

51. Defendants claim that Plaintiffs have misrepresented Wessell’s own experience in asset protection because Wessell previously owned a pawn shop, purposefully or recklessly ignoring the fact that Wessell operated multiple business ventures.

52. Defendants’ have also stated that Wessell has “multiple criminal convictions,” while Wessell **has never been convicted of a crime.**

53. Defendants have accused Wessell of being **currently engaged in** wire fraud and stated he should be imprisoned for the same.

54. Defendants’ statements accuse Wessell of being a criminal and suggest that Plaintiffs are unfit for the asset protection industry, which constitutes defamation per se.

55. As a result of Defendants’ false and defamatory statements, Plaintiffs have suffered damages including, but not limited to, injury to reputation loss of potential and/or actual clients, and attorney’s fees incurred in bringing this action to curb Defendants’ wrongful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants for damages, and for any other relief this Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

COUNT II-DEFAMATION BY IMPLICATION

56. Plaintiffs reallege paragraphs 1–47 as if fully set forth herein.

57. On their Website and in their social media posts, Defendants have claimed that Wessell had “**Multiple criminal convictions** including theft and domestic violence charges **with prison time served.**”

58. The Crossroads Report, published to Defendants’ Website, claims that, in reference to a charge of domestic violence, Wessell “faced one criminal charge in Los Angeles County, California, and has served time in prison.”

59. In reality, Wessell was neither convicted nor imprisoned for domestic violence.

60. Additionally, Wessell was neither convicted nor imprisoned for theft.

61. Although Defendants claim to be reporting information gleaned from public records, Defendants have purposely or recklessly omitted facts to create the defamatory implication that Wessell has been convicted and imprisoned for domestic violence and theft, which is patently false and clearly defamatory, as it paints Wessell as a thief and a violent criminal.

62. Defendants repeatedly state that Wessell should be incarcerated “again” and that he “has been incarcerated before.” While incarceration may technically refer to being jailed or imprisoned, as Defendants elsewhere repeatedly and falsely claim that Wessell has been convicted of and imprisoned for crimes, the implication is clearly that Wessell has been imprisoned for criminal conduct before. This is made clear by Defendants repeatedly suggesting that Wessell deserves to be incarcerated “again” for wire fraud, as Defendants are clearly accusing Wessell of committing wire fraud and stating that he should be imprisoned for the same.

63. Defendants have also used Wessell's previous ownership of a pawn shop to paint him as having lied about his career experience, using this fact to imply that Plaintiffs have misrepresented Wessell's career experience in asset protection.

64. As a result of Defendants' false and defamatory statements, Plaintiffs have suffered damages including, but not limited to, injury to reputation, loss of potential and/or actual clients, and attorney's fees incurred in bringing this action to curb Defendants' wrongful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants for damages, and for any other relief this Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

COUNT III-TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS RELATIONSHIPS

65. Plaintiffs reallege paragraphs 1-47 as if fully set forth herein.

66. Defendants have contacted at least one client of Plaintiffs to alert the client to Defendants' lawsuit and allegations against Plaintiffs, encouraging the client to terminate their business relationship with Plaintiffs.

67. Upon information and belief, Defendants have sent the same or similar messages to other existing or potential clients of Plaintiffs.

68. As a result of Defendants' unjustified interference with Plaintiffs' business relationships, Plaintiffs have suffered damages including , but not limited to, injury to reputation, loss of potential and/or actual clients, and attorney's fees incurred in bringing this action to curb Defendants' wrongful conduct.

69. Additionally, to the extent that Defendants acquired any clients themselves in this manner, Plaintiffs are entitled to disgorgement of Defendants' profits from said clients as ill-gotten gains.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants for damages, disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, and for any other relief this Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

COUNT IV-INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

70. Plaintiffs reallege paragraphs 1–47 as if fully set forth herein.

71. Defendants have improperly contacted actual and/or potential clients to defame Plaintiffs and encourage them to terminate their business relationships with Plaintiffs, and upon information and belief will continue to do so.

72. Defendants have improperly contacted Plaintiffs’ employees and encouraged them to terminate their employment relationship with Plaintiffs, and upon information and belief will continue to do so.

73. These actions have resulted in irreparable harm to Plaintiffs without an adequate remedy at law, as it is difficult if not impossible to quantify the amount of damages.

74. Defendants have offered rewards, such as vacations and/or money, in exchange for information on Plaintiff Wessell’s whereabouts, and upon information and belief will continue to do so. This invites harassment and potential violence against Wessell, also warranting injunctive relief.

75. Defendants frequently publicly comment about the lawsuit they have brought against Plaintiffs and criticize Plaintiffs for not publicly responding, resulting in irreparable harm without an adequate legal remedy by tainting any potential jury pool and depriving Plaintiffs of their day in court.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter an injunction to enjoin Defendants from contacting Plaintiffs’ actual or potential clients to defame Plaintiffs, from

contacting Plaintiffs' employees to defame Plaintiff, from encouraging others to locate and/or take other actions against Plaintiffs, from publicly commenting on the federal lawsuit, and for any other relief this Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff respectfully demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Dated: January 29, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

TRIPP SCOTT, P.A.

Counsel for Plaintiffs

110 SE 6th Street, Floor 15

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Telephone: (954) 525-7500

By: /s/ Seth J. Donahoe

Seth J. Donahoe, Esq.

Fla. Bar No.: 1004133

David A. Levine, Esq.

Fla. Bar No.: 1040431

sjd@trippscott.com

dal@trippscott.com

sgc@trippscott.com

eservice@trippscott.com

[VERIFICATION ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

VERIFICATION

I, Kevin Wessell, am an authorized representative of the Plaintiffs in the above-styled matter and am fully competent to testify. Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing Complaint and that the facts stated in it are true.

Signature: 

Name: Kevin Wessell

Title: Individually and as owner, officer or manager

Date: January 28, 2026

EXHIBIT A

CONFIDENTIAL

Background Reports • Locates • Due Diligence • Asset Searches • Surveillance



Xinvestigations.com

11 August 2025

This memo is in response to a request for a due diligence search on Kevin W. Wessell (11/26/1963) (Addenda 1-4).

(866) XROADS-1
info@Xinvestigations.com
1835 NE 185 Street, Suite 547, Miami, FL 33179
License #A2900334

CONFIDENTIAL

Background Reports • Locates • Due Diligence • Asset Searches • Surveillance



Xinvestigations.com

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Crossroads Investigations searches reveal the following derogatory information on Kevin W. Wessell (Addenda 1-4):

According to legal judgments in federal and district courts and information in online media publications, Kevin W. Wessell has engaged in the following nefarious business activities during the course of his career:

- “Committed intentional and negligent misrepresentation, concealment and suppression of facts, and racketeering.”
- Engaged in “deliberate deceit and trickery.”
- Engaged in a “pattern of racketeering activities by committing acts of mail and wire fraud within a 10-year period to further a fraudulent investment scheme.”
- Guided and participated in schemes “to defraud and obtain money by means of false pretenses, misrepresentations, and representations that were made recklessly with the specific intent of defrauding investors.”

Crossroads Investigations identified 25 federal court cases since 1995 in which Kevin W. Wessell is a party. In 14 of these, Kevin Wessell served as Defendant or Co-Defendant. The remaining 11 involve Petitions for Bankruptcy.

Crossroads Investigations identified eight Los Angeles County civil cases since 2003 in which Kevin Wessell is a party. In all of these cases, he served as the Defendant or Co-Defendant. Kevin Wessell also faced one criminal charge in Los Angeles County, California, and served time in prison.

CONFIDENTIAL

Background Reports • Locates • Due Diligence • Asset Searches • Surveillance



Xinvestigations.com

Crossroads Investigations discovered one Broward County civil case filed in 2000 to which Kevin Wessell is a party. In it, he served as Plaintiff. Kevin Wessell also faced one criminal charge in Broward County.

During the course of our litigation searches, Crossroads Investigations discovered that Kevin Wessell faced one criminal charge in the state of Washington, is party to one civil case in King County, Washington, and one civil case in Palm Beach, Florida. In each of the civil filings, Wessell served as the Defendant.

There is evidence that Kevin W. Wessell is the Officer/Agent of multiple companies registered outside the United States. Kevin W. Wessell has been the Officer/Agent of at least 473 companies in the United States.

CONFIDENTIAL

Background Reports • Locates • Due Diligence • Asset Searches • Surveillance



Xinvestigations.com

- On 12/20/2016, Plaintiff Sirca filed Unlawful Detainer/Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction Civil Case #16UA2107 against Defendants Wessell, Et al. Official court records detailing the case are not available to the public. (Addendum 53)

Kevin W. Wessell faced one criminal charge in Los Angeles County, California, and has served time in prison.

- On 11/19/2000, Wessell was charged under California Penal Code 273.5 PC, also known as the Corporal Injury on a Spouse or Cohabitant law, which makes it a crime to inflict physical injury on a spouse, cohabitant, or the other parent of their child, resulting in a traumatic condition. This offense is considered a form of domestic violence and can be charged as either a misdemeanor or a felony, depending on the circumstances. Wessell was booked, jailed, and released on bond on 11/19/2000. No further information is available on the details of this case in public records.

CONFIDENTIAL

Background Reports • Locates • Due Diligence • Asset Searches • Surveillance



Xinvestigations.com

During the course of our litigation searches, Crossroads Investigations discovered three additional cases—one of which involves a criminal charge.

State of Washington

According to Crossroads Investigations' proprietary database information, on August 31, 1995, Kevin Wessell was charged with Theft in the First Degree. This offense involves the theft of property or services with a value exceeding \$5,000, or the theft of property from a person, regardless of the value of the property. It is classified as a Class B felony. Wessell was found guilty and sentenced to 23 days in prison under Offense Code 9A5603 in Case #757860. The case was disposed of on December 20, 1996. No further information is available on the details of this case in public records. (Addendum 3)

Kings County, Washington

Judgment Details: Filing Date: 05/08/1995 | County: King County, Washington | Filing Type: Civil Judgment | Filing Number: 942155946 | Creditor: FACTORS OF PUGET SOUND | Debtor Amount: \$93,498.00. Status: Satisfied in Full – Satisfaction of Judgment 09/26/2000. (Addendum 1)

demand attempts to challenge the factual statements and allegations made in both the lawsuit and this investigative article.

The author and publisher of The Offshore Watchdog stand firmly by all statements made in this article. Every allegation is supported by court records, official documents, licensed private investigation findings, and publicly available information. We will not be intimidated by legal threats designed to silence legitimate journalism and consumer protection efforts.

Truth is an absolute defense to defamation. The evidence speaks for itself.

[Read Cease and Desist Letter >](#)

EXHIBIT B



PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR REPORT

A comprehensive private investigation report documenting detailed findings about Kevin Wessell, his business operations, and his history. The report includes background research, documented activities, and investigative findings that corroborate concerns about his conduct and business practices in the asset protection industry.

[Read Investigation Report >](#)



INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION: THE DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE

In August 2025, a comprehensive due diligence investigation was conducted on Kevin W. Wessell by Crossroads Investigations (License #A2900334), a licensed private investigation firm based in Miami, Florida.

The investigation reviewed over 75 online references, federal and state court records, criminal databases, and official business registrations across multiple jurisdictions.

The findings paint a disturbing picture of decades of fraud, criminal activity, and deceptive business practices.

Key Findings Include:

- **25+ federal court cases** - 14 as defendant, 11 bankruptcy filings
- **Multiple criminal convictions** including theft and domestic violence charges with prison time served
- **\$3+ million in court judgments** for fraud and racketeering
- **The Alps Swedish Credit Union Fraud** - \$6.3 million stolen from victims
- **473+ shell companies** registered across the United States
- **Listed in the Offshore Leaks Database** alongside Panama Papers investigations
- **2022 IRS Summons** for offshore tax evasion investigation

 **Read Full Investigation Report**

 **Download PDF Report**

Investigation conducted by Crossroads Investigations, License #A2900334, Miami, Florida - August 2025

Stay Informed

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
FORT LAUDERDALE DIVISION**

BLAKE HARRIS LAW, LLC,
a Florida limited liability
company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KEVIN WILLIAM WESSELL,
individually and as an owner, officer,
or manager of Lawyers Limited, PLLC,
Lawyers Limited Inc., and
Liberty Business Group, Inc.,

LAWYERS LIMITED PLLC,
a Washington D.C. professional
limited liability company;

LAWYERS LIMITED INC.,
a Nevada corporation; and,

LIBERTY BUSINESS GROUP, INC.,
a Nevada corporation.

Defendants.

CASE NO. 25-cv-62552

EXHIBIT C

**COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND EQUITABLE RELIEF AND DAMAGES, AND
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, Blake Harris Law, LLC, by and through its undersigned counsel, brings this action under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), and the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Chapter 501, Part II, Florida Statutes, against Kevin Wessell, Lawyers Limited PLLC, Lawyers Limited Inc., and Liberty Business Group, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”) for injunctive and equitable relief, declaratory relief, actual damages, and attorneys’ fees, and states:

From: Matthew Ray matthew@lawyerslimited.com
Subject: Fwd: Lawsuit filed against Kevin Wessell (AKA "The Business Guy")
Date: December 18, 2025 at 4:59 PM
To: Kevin W kevinw@lawyerslimited.com, Yonathan Amselem Yoni@lawyerslimited.com



Just got this email. Very upset client that I dealt with last week. Don't know how Blake got his email

Best Regards,
Matthew Ray
Lawyers Limited
Companies Incorporated
1-800-COMPANY
Tel. 1-800-COMPANY (1-800-266-7269)
Direct Tel. (720) 861-0555
Skype: [Matthew@lawyerslimited.com](https://www.skype.com/people/matthew@lawyerslimited.com)
Office Hours – 7 a.m. to 4 p.m. Monday - Friday (MT)

EXHIBIT D

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Skyler Wells** <skyler.wells.la@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Dec 18, 2025 at 2:54 PM
Subject: Fwd: Lawsuit filed against Kevin Wessell (AKA "The Business Guy")
To: Yonathan Amselem <yonih@lawyerslimited.com>, Jesse Davis <jesse@lawyerslimited.com>, Keith Wootten <keith@lawyerslimited.com>, Matthew Ray <matthew@lawyerslimited.com>, Chelsea Torres <chelsea@lawyerslimited.com>, maria@lawyerslimited.com>, <crystal@lawyerslimited.com>

The email below does not surprise me given the existing breach of contract by Liberty Business Group, Inc, including but not limited to the list below. I plan to contact Black Harris Law.

1. Cook Islands Trust jurisdiction question.
2. Cook Islands Trust annual requirement question.
3. Cook Islands Trust registry name and contact information.
4. Cook Islands LLC annual requirement question.
5. Cook Islands LLC registry name and contact information.
6. Cook Islands LLC name change.
7. Wyoming LLC information questions.
8. Asset sale questions.
9. Asset transfer (Stock Purchase Agreement) document.
10. Contact information updates.

Skyler Wells

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blake Harris** <Blake@blakeharrislaw.com>
Date: Thu, Dec 11, 2025 at 6:30 AM
Subject: Lawsuit filed against Kevin Wessell (AKA "The Business Guy")
To: NORTHDRIDGE <skyler.wells.la@gmail.com>

[View this email in your browser](#)

Dear NORTHDRIDGE,

Yesterday, Blake Harris Law filed a federal lawsuit against Kevin Wessell (AKA The Business Guy) and entities associated with Lawyers Limited and Asset Protection Planners, alleging false advertising and deceptive trade practices in the marketing of asset protection services. The complaint details concerns about how these services are presented to consumers.

including alleged misrepresentations about legal qualifications and firm history.

To review the allegations against Kevin Wessell and more about his background visit TheOffshoreWatchdog.com

Kindest regards,
Blake

—

Blake Harris, Esq.
Blake Harris Law
(786) 559-1209
www.BlakeHarrisLaw.com
201 S. Biscayne Blvd., 28th Floor
Miami, FL 33131

This message is from a law firm and may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by email that this message has been inadvertently transmitted to you and delete this email from your system. Thank you for your cooperation. IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: Unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein. Representation does not begin until an Engagement Agreement has been signed and returned to our office with the corresponding retainer.



Copyright © 2025 Blake Harris Law, All rights reserved.
You are receiving this email because you opted in via our website.

Our mailing address is:

Blake Harris Law
2301 Blake Street
Suite 100
Denver, CO 80205

[Add us to your address book](#)

Want to change how you receive these emails?
You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#).

